Genetic Algorithms for the Numerical Solution of Variational Problems without Analytic Trial Functions

Carlos Toledo

Center for Intelligent Systems, Monterrey Institute of Technology Campus Monterrey, Monterrey, Nuevo León 64849, México ctoledo@itesm.mx

Abstract. A coding of functions that allows a genetic algorithm to minimize functionals without analytic trial functions is presented and implemented for solving numerically some instances of variational problems from physics.

1 Introduction

The genetic algorithm (GA) [1,2] has become popular as as a reliable computerized method for solving problems from a wide range of domains, such as function optimization, handling them even in nonlinear, multidimensional search spaces. A conventional GA is a stochastic search method inspired in natural selection and the darwinian idea of the survival of the fittest in which the possible solutions of a problem (metaphorically the points in the search space) are coded as fixed-length strings of characters of an alphabet (usually binary) that resemble the chromosomes of alive beings. A GA evolves a population of search "points" chosen randomly appliying iteratively on them operators of selection, crossover and mutation for creating new populations (generations).

Selection consists in giving a proportionally bigger number of offspring to the fitter individuals so the characteristics that make them better prevail. The combination of this characteristics for generating new individuals is achieved through crossover, that is the interchange of portions of the strings of characters of two individuals paired randomly, giving birth to two new individuals for the next generation. In its simplest form in a GA all individuals are removed (die) after reproduction.

The last iterative step consists in making random changes to the strings of individuals chosen with a small probability, which is named mutation after the natural process that it resembles. After some generations the individuals tend to concentrate around the fittest "points" in the search space, so it can be said that all of the process was a way of optimizing the function employed to determine the fitting.

The predominant kind of optimization problems attacked with GAs to date have been those in which the strings of an alphabet that make the evolving population code literally points in a multidimensional space, where each dimension

© A. Gelbukh, S. Torres, I. López (Eds.) Advances in Artificial Intelligence Research in Computing Science 20, 2006, pp. 11-16 represents a parameter or variable of interest. When the potential solutions of a problem are functions and not points, as it is the case for variational problems [3], the most popular GA approach developed to date has been that of choosing a set of analytic trial functions and combining them in the fittest way. There are two main ways for doing so: weightening them, case where a string of weights is an individual, or using genetic programming (GP) [4] where the trial functions and the mathematical operators needed for combining them are the alphabet that gives shape to each member of the population.

In this paper a way to directly represent numerical functions as strings (individuals) of a GA is presented, followed by its successful implementation on some

instances of variational problems from physics.

2 Angular Genes are not Real Genes

Lets take $\mathfrak G$ as the alphabet chosen to code individuals. In the literature of GAs (without taking GP into account) two main alphabets are usually discussed, the so called binary genes $\mathfrak G=0,1$ and the real genes $\mathfrak G\in \mathfrak R$. Even when there is not any special restriction on $\mathfrak G$ in the definition of the GA, only the implicit warning that it must facilitate the heredity of the fittest characteristics for obtaining acceptable results, it is curious how the attention in the field has been biased toward the binary and real alphabets. One of the goals of this paper is to emphazise the importance of focusing attention in other alphabets, in the extra information that is possible to get from them, specifically in an angular one, which will be called from now on angular genes. Aren't angular genes just real genes? The distinction made is based in the commonly forgotten fact that angles are not numbers [5], they are an entity by themselves.

The angular genes code piecewise functions as a string α of the angles between each consecutive pair of linear segments. For any combination of angles it is possible to scale and rotate the collection to fit the initial and final desired values. Taking $y_1 = y(x_1)$ and $y_N = y(x_N)$ as the initial and final values of the piecewise function $y_k = y(x_k)$ to be represented in the range (x_1, x_N) with N-1 linear segments and $k = 2, 3, \ldots, N$, the coding is defined as follows:

$$R = \sqrt{(y_N - y_1)^2 + (x_N - x_1)^2}$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1}[(y_N - y_1)/(x_N - x_1)]$$

$$r^2 = \left[\sum_{i=2}^N s_{i-1} \cos\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j\right)\right]^2 + \left[\sum_{i=2}^N s_{i-1} \sin\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j\right)\right]^2$$

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1}\left[\sum_{i=2}^N s_{i-1} \cos\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j\right) \middle/ \sum_{i=2}^N s_{i-1} \sin\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j\right)\right]$$

$$x_k = x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} (R_{/r}) s_{i-1} \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j - \gamma + \beta \right)$$
 (1)

$$y_k = y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k (R/_T) s_{i-1} \sin \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j - \gamma + \beta \right)$$
 (2)

Where $-\sigma \leq \alpha_j \leq \sigma$ and s is a string of real numbers $0 < s_i \leq 1$ that codes the relative length of each linear segment and together with α forms an individual. Having (1) and (2) we can further define:

$$\Delta x_{i} = \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) s_{i} \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j} - \gamma + \beta \right)$$

$$\Delta y_{i} = \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) s_{i} \sin \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j} - \gamma + \beta \right)$$

$$y'_{i} = \tan \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j} - \gamma + \beta \right)$$
(3)

$$y_i'' = \tan(\alpha_{i+1})(1 + y_i'y_{i+1}')/\Delta x_i \tag{4}$$

Taking $\Theta_i = \Theta(x_i)$ as the evaluation in x_i of the function that minimizes the functional, for the best found individual we have:

$$\left| \alpha_{i+1} - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\Theta'_{i+1} - \Theta'_{i}}{1 + \Theta'_{i}\Theta'_{i+1}} \right) \right| < \varepsilon \tag{5}$$

Equation (5) is a measure of the error of the best approximation found that clarifies the influence of a proper choice of σ according to the problem. If σ is too small the error can be surely surpassed but if it is too big the search space grows.

Ignoring the differences in a tenth of σ and 1 the search space explored has a size of approximately $10^{N-1} \times 20^{N-1}$.

3 Examples

The presented coding was used to solve instances of four well known variational problems from physics. In each case the population used had a size of 100 with N=101, crossover based in interchanging angles with probability of 1 from randomly paired individuals after an angle chosen at chance (one point crossover), changing randomly (mutating) angles in the range $[-\sigma,\sigma]$ with probability of 0.05, and 500 generations. The number of runs made for all cases was ten. For the three first cases it was not needed the help of s, so $s_i=1$, but not for the last where $0 < s_i \le 1$. The algorithm was written in MATLAB and implemented in a personal computer with Pentium(R) 4 CPU, 2.4GHz, 448 MB RAM.

3.1 Curve of Shortest Distance in the Euclidian Plane

The functional to minimize is

$$J = \int_{x_1, y_1}^{x_N, y_N} \sqrt{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2}$$

whose known solution [3] is the straight line y=ax+b. For the case $x_1=y_1=y_N=0$, $x_N=1$ with minimum J=1, the average solution found by the algorithm with $\sigma=0.005\pi$ was $J=1+1.66\times 10^{-5}$ with standard deviation of 1.2×10^{-6} .

3.2 Curve of Minimum Revolution Area

Considering two paralle coaxial wire circles to be connected by a surface of minimum area that is generated by revolving a curve y(x) about the x-axis, the functional to minimize is

$$J = \int_{x_1, y_1}^{x_N, y_N} 2\pi y \sqrt{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2}$$

whose known solution [3] is the catenoid $y = \cosh(ax+b)/a$. For the case $-x_1 = x_N = 0.5$, $y_1 = y_N = 1$ with minimum J = 5.9917, the average solution found by the algorithm with $\sigma = 0.005\pi$ was J = 5.9919 with standard deviation of 1.4×10^{-5} .

3.3 Fermat's Principle

According to Fermat's principle light will follow the path y(x) for which

$$J = \int_{x_1,y_1}^{x_N,y_N} n(x,y) \sqrt{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2}$$

is minimum when n is the index of refraction. When $n=e^y$ the solution is $y=\ln(a/\cos(x+b))$. For the case $-x_1=x_N=1$, $y_1=y_N=1$ with minimum J=4.5749, the average solution found by the algorithm with $\sigma=0.01\pi$ was J=4.5752 with standard deviation of 5.2×10^{-5} .

3.4 The Energies of the Hydrogen Atom

The hydrogen atom [6] is the quantum system made of a proton and an electron whose energies, without taking into account the degeneracies, can be found minimizing the functional

$$E_n = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (u_n')^2 + \left(\frac{n(n-1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} - \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \right) u_n^2 \right] dr$$

with $c = \int_0^\infty u_n^2 dr$, $u_n(r) = rR_n$, $u_n(0) = 0$, R_n^2 is the probability distribution for the radial location of the electron, q is its charge, $\mu = m_e m_p / (m_e + m_p)$ the

reduced mass of the system, ϵ_0 the permittivity of free space and \hbar the Planck's constant divided by 2π . The energies of the system are ruled by the equation $E_n = -13.6052 \,\mathrm{eV}/n^2$.

The algorithm was used to find the three first energies of the system. In this case the result found by each run depends strongly in a right choice of r_N such that $u_n(r_N)^2\approx 0$. For the ground state $E_1=-13.6052\,\mathrm{eV}$ the best found was about eight times the Bohr radius, the average solution found by the algorithm was $E_1=-13.5987\,\mathrm{eV}$ with a standard deviation of 0.028 eV. For n=2, $E_2=-3.4014\,\mathrm{eV}$, the best choice for r_N made was about fifteen times the Bohr radius and the average solution found by the algorithm was $E_2=-3.42467\,\mathrm{eV}$ with standard deviation of 0.005 eV. For n=3, $E_3=-1.5117\,\mathrm{eV}$, the best choice for r_N made was about twenty five times the Bohr radius, reaching an average solution of $E_3=-1.5103\,\mathrm{eV}$ with standard deviation of 0.001 eV. In the three cases $\sigma=0.005\pi$.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

The examples shown were chosen with demostrative purposes. Better aproximations for specific cases can be reached increasing N and improving the choice of σ , with the extra computational effort it implies. Even thought that it was showed the efficiency of the coding to minimize the functionals presented it will be necessary the development of a theory of difficulty to give a more concise explanation of the kind of problems that could be hard to solve using it, like those already existent for binary genes like deception [1,2] and NK landscapes [7]. Another useful future development will be that of general ways of handling problems with constraints. An important potential application of the kind of genetic algorithm presented would be in those cases where there are not analytic solutions available, like in many quantum systems.

Acknowledgments The author was supported by a research fellowship from Monterrey Institute of Technology and the Mexican Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) while writing this paper.

References

- Goldberg, D. E. Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning, Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts (1989).
- Goldberg, D. E. The Design of Innovation. Lessons from and for Competent Genetic Algorithms, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Massachusetts (2002).
- Arfken, G. B. and Weber, H. J. Mathematical Methods for Physicists, Hartcourt Academic Press, Burlington, Massachusetts (2001).
- Koza, J. R. Genetic Programming: On the Programming of Computers by the Means of Natural Selection, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1993).
- Lakoff, G. and Núñez, R. E. Where Mathematics Comes From: How the Embodied Mind Brings Mathematics into Being, Basic Books, New York, New York (2000).

- 6. Cohen-Tannoudji, C. Quantum Mechanics, Wiley, New York, New York (1977).
- 7. Kauffman, S. A. The Origins of Order. Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution, Oxford University Press, New York, New York (1993).